Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
Civil Action No. 08-1161

In Regard to the Matter of:

Opinion and Report

Bayside State Prison

of the

Litigation

Special Master

THOMAS RAY MITCHELL,

-vs-

WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al,

Defendants.

FRIDAY, MARCH 28, 2008

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER

March 28, 2008

		Page 2
1		
2		
3	Transcript of proceedings in the above	
4	matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified	
5	Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and	
6	Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the	
7	United States District Court House, Onc Gerry Plaza,	
8	Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 1:40 PM.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.	
	Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing	
23	251 South White Horse Pike	
	Audubon, New Jersey 08106	
24	856-546-1100	
25		

Page 3

- 1 JUDGE BISSELL: The following
- 2 constitutes the Special Master's determination
- 3 regarding the complaint of Thomas Mitchell, docket
- 4 number 08-1161.
- 5 This opinion/report is being issued
- 6 pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference
- 7 to a Special Master and the Special Master's
- 8 Agreement and the guiding principles of law which
- 9 underlie this decision to be applied to the facts
- 10 upon which it is based as set forth in the jury
- 11 instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to
- 12 the extent applicable to the allegations in Mr.
- 13 Mitchell's case.
- 14 As finalized after review under Local
- 15 Civil Rule 52.1, the transcript of this oral opinion
- 16 will constitute the written report required by
- 17 paragraph seven of the Order of Reference to a
- 18 Special Master.
- 19 Mr. Mitchell resided in trailer number
- 20 two at Bayside Prison. The SOG officers came to
- 21 search that unit in August of 1997. Mr. Mitchell was
- 22 ordered to strip and was strip searched and
- 23 thereafter sent to the gym. In the course of events
- 24 in his cell, his shoulder was hit and he was pressed
- 25 up against the wall.

Page 4

- 1 The Special Master determines that this
- 2 constituted a permissible exercise of authority
- 3 consistent with the principles of law regarding
- 4 Eighth Amendment violations as previously discussed
- 5 and incorporated. However, Mr. Mitchell, in the
- 6 course of this process, was also directed to spread
- 7 his arms up against the wall or locker on the wall.
- 8 At that point, without any provocation due either to
- 9 threats or disobedience or insubordination of any
- 10 kind he was struck with considerable force on the
- 11 left thumb inflicting permanent injury to the first
- 12 knuckle where it joins his hand.
- 13 This action was completely unnecessary
- 14 and inflicted upon Mr. Mitchell while in a vulnerable
- 15 position, among other things with his back turned to
- 16 the assaulting officer.
- 17 I find based upon the rather limited
- 18 record generated in Mr. Mitchell's case that he was a
- 19 credible witness before this court. I find that
- 20 inconsistencies with regard to his description of his
- 21 thumb injury in the past, while probative on the
- 22 question of credibility or the extent of the injury,
- 23 are not fatal to his claim. Injuries can be
- 24 characterized in different ways in terms of either
- 25 pain, numbness or the like and/or swelling.

Page 5 1 Furthermore, I noticed both upon 2 physical inspection and from his testimony that there is a permanent injury to the base of Mr. Mitchell's 3 4 left thumb. One can tell this by a comparison between both hands which I undertook. 5 The only credible explanation, based 6 7 upon this record, is that stated above: That this 8 condition of his left thumb was the result of and was 9 proximately caused by the striking of that thumb by 10 the SOG officer as described. I find that the injury is consistent 11 12 with that act, and even the passage of time, the 13 advances of age and the onset of arthritis which might be, under some circumstances, considered normal 14 15 for one in his mid sixties, does not negate in any 16 way the cause and result of the injury due to the 17 striking which he described. I find also that his failure to make 18 19 complaints either formally or informally about the 20 injury inflicted upon him was based upon a legitimate 21 fear of retaliation enforced by corrections officers 22 and their remarks and, therefore, he had good reason not to complain for fear of further injuries as a 23 24 consequence. 25 On the other hand, I have considered

March 28, 2008

		Page 6
1	the absence of complaints and the absence of seeking	
2	medical treatment, at least up through 2002 either	
3	within or outside of the prison system, as being	
4	significant on the question of the extent of this	
5	injury. It is a permanent injury, a permanent	
6	deformity, but both the answers under oath on	
7	previous occasions and candidly (and to his credit)	
8	in this courtroom, Mr. Mitchell has indicated that	
9	the thumb does not really bother him very much or	
10	impede him very much in the course of his activities	
11	at this time.	
12	In the course of preliminary	
13	observations regarding this decision presented from	
14	the bench on March 26th, 2008, I made reference to	
15	the fact that I was leaving for further consideration	
16	the question of whether compensatory or nominal	

17

18

19

20

21

- sustained actual damages, but you still conclude that
- 22 his legal rights were violated, then you may return a

damages should be awarded in this matter. In the

charge to the jury in the Walker case, Judge Kugler

defined the standard for nominal damages as follows,

and f quote: "If you find that the plaintiff has not

- 23 verdict for the plaintiff in a nominal sum. Nominal
- 24 damages are awarded if you conclude that the
- 25 plaintiff suffered a deprivation of his rights, but

Page 7 did not suffer any actual damages." 1 2 In the case of Bar, while Mr. 3 Mitchell's injuries and any damages resulting 4 therefrom are modest, they do indeed exist and he did !5 sufter "actual damages". Accordingly, I have chosen to award compensatory damages, although not in a 6 7 large amount. The action of striking Mr. Mitchell on 9 his left thumb in the manner which he described and 10 which I have determined, in fact, occurred, go well beyond the necessity of any proper law enforcement 11 12 needs or any proper exercise of discipline or other 13 legitimate penalogical purpose as well-defined in the 14 jury instructions which are incorporated here. 15 There was, indeed, excessive, 16 unnecessary and sadistic force imposed upon Mr. 17 Mitchell here within the comtemplation of those legal 18 principles. However, in light of the fact that the 1.9 striking of Mr. Mitchell was not prolonged or 20 repeated, while actionable for recovery of 21 compensatory damages, I do not find that this assault 22 visited upon him rose to the level of being so 23 egregious as to support a claim for punitive damages, 24 at least against the unidentified officers who were 25 with him and the one who inflicted this injury.

Page 8 I realize, of course, the fact that the 1 officers were unidentified here; but there will come 2 a time when the issue arises as to whether there is 3 4 any significance to the conduct of the perpetrators regarding the plaintiffs' efforts to establish 5 6 supervisor liability. 7 I emphasize here again, therefore, that I make a finding that the conduct, although 8 9 actionable and which supports an award of 10 compensatory damages, was not so egregious as to support an award of punitive damages under applicable 11 12 legal standards. 13 Finally, although not every item of 14 evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report, 15 all evidence presented to the Special Master was 16 reviewed and considered. 17 I find that the injury inflicted here 18 is actionable. I find that the injury was acute 19 initially and has remained permanent although without 20 continuing pain or any significant restriction on Mr. 21 Mitchell's activities. Accordingly, I recommend in 22 this report that the district court enter an award of 23 compensatory damages in the amount of two thousand dollars in Mr. Mitchell's favor. 24

25

		Page 9
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and	
4	Cortified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New	
5	Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a	
6	true and accurate transcript of the testimony as	
7	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,	
8	place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.	
9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a	
10	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any	
11.	of the parties to this action, and that I am neither	
12	a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel,	
13	and that I am not financially interested in the	
14	action.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	Theresa O. Mastroianne	
	Theresa O. Mastroianni, C.S.R.	
20	Notary Public, State of New Jersey	
	My Commission Expires May 5, 2010	
21	Certificate No. XIO857	
	Date: April 4, 2008	
22		
23		
24		
25		

A	beyond 7:11	consequence	directives 3:6	favor 8:24
absence 6:1,1	BISSELL 1:16	5:24	discipline 7:12	fear 5:21,23
accurate 9:6	3:1	considerable	discussed 4:4	finalized 3:14
act 5:12	bother 6:9	4:10	8:14	Finally 8:13
action 1:2 4:13	C	consideration	disobedience 4:9	financially 9:13
7:8 9:11,14	C	6:15	district 1:1,1 2:7	find 4:17,19
actionable 7:20	C 9:1,1	considered 5:14	8:22	5:11,18 6:20
8:9,18	Camden 2:8	5:25 8:16	docket 3:3	7:21 8:17,18
activities 6:10	candidly 6:7	consistent 4:3	dollars 8:24	finding 8:8
8:21	case 3:13 4:18	5:11	due 4:8 5:16	first 4:11
actual 6:21 7:1,5	6:18 7:2	constitute 3:16		following 3:1
acute 8:18	cause 5:16	constituted 4:2	<u>E</u>	follows 6:19
advances 5:13	caused 5:9	constitutes 3:2	E 9:1,1	force 4:10 7:16
age 5:13	cell 3:24	continuing 8:20	efforts 8:5	foregoing 9:5
Agreement 3:8	Certificate 9:21	corrections 5:21	egregious 7:23	formally 5:19
al 1:8	Certified 2:4,22	counsel 9:10,12	8:10	FORMAROLI
allegations 3:12	9:4	course 3:23 4:6	Eighth 4:4	2:22
Amendment 4:4	certify 9:5,9	6:10,12 8:1	either 4:8,24	forth 3:10 9:8
amount 7:7 8:23	characterized	court 1:1 2:5,7	5:19 6:2	FRIDAY 1:13
and/or 4:25	4:24	2:22 4:19 8:22	emphasize 8:7	further 5:23
answers 6:6	charge 6:18	courtroom 6:8	employee 9:10	6:15 9:9
applicable 3:12	charges 3:11	credibility 4:22	9:12	Furthermore
8:11	chosen 7:5	credible 4:19 5:6	enforced 5:21	5:1
applied 3:9	circumstances	credit 6:7	enforcement	<u> </u>
April 9:21	5:14	C.S.R 9:19	7:11	<u>G</u>
arises 8:3	Civil 1:2 3:15		enter 8:22	generated 4:18
arms 4:7	claim 4:23 7:23	D	establish 8:5	Gerry 2:7
arthritis 5:13	come 8:2	damages 6:17,19	et 1:8	go 7:10
assault 7:21	commencing 2:8	6:21,24 7:1,3,5	events 3:23	good 5:22
assaulting 4:16	Commission	7:6,21,23 8:10	evidence 8:14,15	guiding 3:8
attorney 9:10,12	9:20	8:11,23	excessive 7:15	gym 3:23
Audubon 2:23	comparison 5:4	date 9:8,21	exercise 4:2 7:12	П
August 3:21	compensatory	decision 3:9 6:13	exist 7:4	H 1:8
authority 4:2	6:16 7:6,21	Defendants 1:9	Expires 9:20	H 1:8 hand 4:12 5:25
award 7:6 8:9	8:10,23	defined 6:19	explanation 5:6	
8:11,22	complain 5:23	deformity 6:6	extent 3:12 4:22	hands 5:5
awarded 6:17,24	complaint 3:3	deprivation 6:25	6:4	hereinbefore 9:8
	complaints 5:19	described 5:10	F	hit 3:24
B	6:1	5:17 7:9		HONORABLE
back 4:15	completely 4:13	description 4:20	F9:1	1:16
Bar 7:2	comtemplation	determination	fact 6:15 7:10,18	Horse 2:23
base 5:3	7:17	3:2	8:1	House 2:7
based 3:10 4:17	conclude 6:21	determined 7:10	facts 3:9	Ī
5:6,20	6:24	determines 4:1	failure 5:18	impede 6:10
Bayside 1:5 3:20	condition 5:8	different 4:24	fatal 4:23	imposed 7:16
bench 6:14	conduct 8:4,8	directed 4:6	FAUVER 1:8	imposed /.io

п	
- 1	

			1	<u> </u>
inconsistencies	legal 6:22 7:17	noticed 5:1	presented 6:13	report 1:4 3:16
4:20	8:12	number 2:5 3:4	8:15	8:22
incorporated	legitimate 5:20	3:19	pressed 3:24	Reporter 2:5 9:4
4:5 7:14	7:13	numbness 4:25	previous 6:7	Reporting 2:22
indicated 6:8	level 7:22		previously 4:4	required 3:16
inflicted 4:14	liability 8:6	Ω	principles 3:8	resided 3:19
5:20 7:25 8:17	license 2:5	O 2:4 9:3,19	4:3 7:18	restriction 8:20
inflicting 4:11	light 7:18	oath 6:6	prison 1:5 3:20	result 5:8,16
informally 5:19	limited 4:17	observations	6:3	resulting 7:3
initially 8:19	Litigation 1:5	6:13	probative 4:21	retaliation 5:21
injuries 4:23	Local 3:14	occasions 6:7	proceedings 2:3	return 6:22
5:23 7:3	locker 4:7	occurred 7:10	process 4:6	review 3:14
injury 4:11,21		officer 4:16 5:10	prolonged 7:19	reviewed 8:16
4:22 5:3,11,16	M	officers 3:20	proper 7:11,12	rights 6:22,25
5:20 6:5,5 7:25	manner 7:9	5:21 7:24 8:2	provocation 4:8	rose 7:22
8:17,18	March 1:13 6:14	onset 5:13	proximately 5:9	Rule 3:15
inspection 5:2	Master 1:5,16	opinion 1:4 3:15	Public 2:6 9:3,20	
instructions	3:7,18 4:1 8:15	opinion/report	punitive 7:23	S
3:11 7:14	Master's 3:2,7	3:5 8:14	8:11	sadistic 7:16
insubordination	Mastroianni 2:4	oral 3:15	purpose 7:13	search 3:21
4:9	2:22 9:3,19	Order 3:6,17	pursuant 3:6	searched 3:22
interested 9:13	matter 1:4 2:4	ordered 3:22		seeking 6:1
issue 8:3	6:17	outside 6:3	Q	sent 3:23
issued 3:5	medical 6:2	P	question 4:22	set 3:10 9:8
item 8:13	Mejias 3:11	-	6:4,16	seven 3:17
_	mid 5:15	pain 4:25 8:20	quote 6:20	Shorthand 9:4
J	Mitchell 1:6 3:3	paragraph 3:17	R	shoulder 3:24
Jersey 1:1 2:6,8	3:19,21 4:5,14	parties 9:11		significance 8:4
2:23 9:5,20	6:8 7:8,17,19	passage 5:12	R9:1	significant 6:4
JOHN 1:16	Mitchell's 3:13	penalogical 7:13	RAY 1:6	8:20
joins 4:12	4:18 5:3 7:3	permanent 4:11	realize 8:1	sixties 5:15
Judge 3:1 6:18	8:21,24	5:3 6:5,5 8:19	really 6:9	SOG 3:20 5:10
jury 3:10,11	modest 7:4	permissible 4:2	reason 5:22	South 2:23
6:18 7:14	N	perpetrators 8:4	recommend	Special 1:5,16
к		physical 5:2	8:21	3:2,7,7,18 4:1
	necessity 7:11	Pike 2:23	record 4:18 5:7	8:15
kind 4:10	needs 7:12	place 9:8	recovery 7:20	spread 4:6
knuckle 4:12	negate 5:15	plaintiff 6:20,23	reference 3:6,17 6:14	standard 6:19
Kugler 6:18	neither 9:9,11	6:25	1	standards 8:12
L	New 1:1 2:6,8,23	plaintiffs 8:5	regard 1:4 4:20	State 1:5 2:6 9:4
large 7:7	9:4,20 nominal 6:16,19	Plaza 2:7 PM 2:8	regarding 3:3 4:3 6:13 8:5	9:20
law 3:8 4:3 7:11	6:23,23	point 4:8	relative 9:10,12	stated 5:7
leaving 6:15	normal 5:14	point 4:0	remained 8:19	States 1:1 2:7
left 4:11 5:4,8	Notary 2:6 9:3	preliminary	remarks 5:22	stenographica
7:9	9:20	6:12	remarks 5:22 repeated 7:20	9:7
""	9.20	0.12	repeated /.zv	striking 5:9,17
<u> </u>	I	1	I	Cold of

7:8,19	United 1:1 2:7	28 1:13		
strip 3:22,22	unnecessary			
struck 4:10	4:13 7:16	3		
suffer 7:1,5		30X100085700		
suffered 6:25	$oxed{f v}$	2:5		
sum 6:23	verdict 6:23			
supervisor 8:6	Videoconfere	4		
support 7:23	2:22	49:21		
8:11	violated 6:22	5		
supports 8:9	violations 4:4	59:20		
sustained 6:21	visited 7:22	52.1 3:15		
swelling 4:25	vs 1:7	JM-1 J.1J		
system 6:3	vulnerable 4:14	8		
	\mathbf{w}	856-546-1100		
T	W 1:16	2:24		
T 9:1,1	Walker 3:11			
taken 2:4 9:7	6:18			
tell 5:4	wall 3:25 4:7,7			
terms 4:24	way 5:16			
testimony 5:2	ways 4:24			
9:6 therefrom 7:4	well-defined	}		
Theresa 2:4 9:3	7:13			
9:19	White 2:23			
9:19 things 4:15	WILLIAM 1:8			
Thomas 1:6 3:3	witness 4:19			
thousand 8:23	written 3:16			
threats 4:9				
thumb 4:11,21	X			
5:4,8,9 6:9 7:9	XIO857 9:21			
time 5:12 6:11	_			
8:3 9:7	0			
trailer 3:19	08-1161 1:2 3:4			
transcript 2:3	08102 2:8			
3:15 9:6	08106 2:23	1		
treatment 6:2	1			
true 9:6	1:40 2:8			
turned 4:15	1997 3:21			
two 3:20 8:23				
T T	2			
<u>U</u>	2002 6:2			
underlie 3:9	2008 1:13 6:14			
undertook 5:5	9:21			
unidentified	2010 9:20			
7:24 8:2 unit 3:21	251 2:23			
	26th 6:14		The state of the s	i